

Advances in Military Technology Vol. 12, No. 2 (**2017**), pp. 217-228 ISSN 1802-2308, eISSN 2533-4123 DOI 10.3849/aimt.01185



A Quantitative Method of Comparative Assessment of Primers Ignition Performances

D.A. Goga¹, T.V. Ţigănescu¹, B. Pulpea¹, C. Moldoveanu¹ and C. Rotaru^{2*}

¹ Military Technical Academy, Bucharest, Romania ² Henri Coanda – Air Force Academy, Brasov, Romania

The manuscript was received on 14 March 2017 and was accepted after revision for publication on 27 October 2017.

Abstract:

The ignition performances of percussion primers for small calibre ammunition are determined using a new experimental setup, with pressure transducers and special designed combustion chambers. The method enables a comparison of new designed primers with consecrated models by relative ignition capacity. Results show that ballistic performances of primers are in direct relationship with the gradient pressure vs. rise time and also with the maximum pressure developed inside the cartridge chamber.

Keywords:

ammunition, percussion primers, ignition, ballistic

1. Introduction

The safety and the reproducibility of the interior ballistic cycle for both large and small calibre gun systems are directly correlated with the propellant and primer energetic and mechanical properties [1-3]. In fact, an important safety characteristic of any ammunition used in weapon systems is the maximum pressure in the cartridge chamber, whose value helps to avoid malfunctions, accidents or damages. The role of the ammunition developer is to choose suitable propellants and primers (percussion caps) in order to obtain the desired muzzle velocity (energy) without surpassing pressure limitations.

The choice of the perfect gun propellant for small calibre ammunition has not always been an easy task, but nowadays the energetic materials industry is able to provide formulations with various compositions and geometries, thus adjusting the combustion velocities and the combustion thickness for any ammunition-weapon

^{*} Corresponding author: Aviation Department, Henri Coanda Air Force Academy, Mihai Viteazul 160, Brasov, Romania. Phone: +40 268 42 34 21, fax: +40 268 42 20 04, E-mail: rotaruconstantin@yahoo.com

system. On the other hand, the choice for the primers, which are usually produced on-site in the ammunition factory, is quite limited to one or two sorts.

Meanwhile, standard procedures for new ammunition batches testing include for the primers only some chemical analyses on the primer mixtures and some percussion tests for the primers sensitivity determination (the minimum energy of percussion). The ignition capacity of the primer is basically tested in a system context during real firing tests. From experience, after testing an important number of ammunition batches, it has been observed that ballistic performances of ammunition often vary / fall even when using exactly the same quantity and sort of propellant for the propelling charge. While trying to develop new types of primers, Ritter [4] also concluded that primer's performance subsequently resulted in rather different cartridge performances. These observations immediately raised two questions: How the primer's ignition performances influence the ballistic performances of the ammunition? Which of the primer characteristics should be improved in order to obtain a perfect ignition of the gun propellant?

Analysing the state of the art, it has been found that very few references are encountered on this topic. In this sense, efforts were done for the characterization of propellant ignition process and primers properties, but most of them consisted in real firing of the fully equipped ammunition using laboratory weapons and analysing the ballistic parameters. These tests do not allow emphasizing primers role and only give a global estimation over the ammunition system performance. On the other hand, the method is very laborious and expensive and must be performed inside a firing range. Some methods presented in the literature [4-7] indicate the power or brisance of the primer as key factor in estimating the ignition capacity. Ritter [4] fired fully charged ammunition using a laboratory weapon equipped with a pressure transducer mounted at the side of the cartridge case. In another work [6], the primer was fixed in a cartridge shell, which was mounted on a ballistic pendulum. The maximum height was recorded and used to estimate the primer power. The length of the primer flame is another parameter mentioned by other authors [7]. But neither of these methods allows a detailed evaluation of the ignition process on a time scale.

The importance of finding quantitative, reliable and inexpensive methods to comparatively asses the ignition capacity of primers is more important nowadays, in the context of greener munitions development [8-10]. New designed green primers, such as lead-free primers with emerging greener explosives [11, 12], are supposed to replace consecrated toxic primers based on lead styphnate, barium nitrate and antimony sulphide. The rapid development of nano-scale thermite materials and newly born laser ignition systems require precise methods for qualitative assessment of the ignition capacity.

In this context, the aim of the study has been to present a quantitative experimental method for the determination of the functional characteristics and relative ignition capacity of percussion primers small calibre ammunitions.

2. Theoretical Approach

Percussion primers are mechanic-pyrotechnic devices used to initiate propellants deflagration in small calibre ammunition. Under the action of exterior stimuli (in this case, firing pin percussion) the priming mixture is submitted to deflagration or detonation. The hot reaction products expand in the cartridge case between propellants granules, producing further deflagration.

In order to determine the relative ignition capacity of the primers, functional and ballistic characteristics, the following parameters were defined and proposed for measurement:

- Delay time (*t*_d) the time elapsed between the moment when the firing pin touches the cap and the moment when reaction products flow out in the cartridge case;
- Functioning time (*t*_f) the time necessary for all the reaction products to extend until maximum pressure is reached, where the time is taken from pressure vs. time diagrams;
- Maximum pressure (p_{max}) the maximum value of pressure, measured inside the cartridge case (depending also on the free volume, which is the volume not occupied by the propellant);
- Pressure rising rate (dp/dt) the slope of the pressure versus time curve (measured in time sections where constant);
- Maximum impulse (I_{max}) the area under p(t) curve, calculated as:

$$I_{\max} = \frac{p_{\max} t_f}{2} \,. \tag{1}$$

• Relative force (*f*_r) – the ratio between tested primer force and a reference primer force (the reference primer being the primer that equips a similar ammunition that proved its ballistic characteristics and ignition capacity in service) calculated as:

$$f_{\rm r} = \frac{p_{\rm max}}{p_{\rm max\,ref}} \,. \tag{2}$$

• Relative vivacity (*V*_r) – the ratio between tested primer vivacity and reference primer vivacity, calculated as:

$$V_r = \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{\mathrm{ref}}}.$$
(3)

• Average pressurizing velocity (V) – the pressure wave velocity inside the cartridge case determined with two pressure transducers mounted along the case at known distance.

3. Experimental Settings

The method proposed consists in the pressure vs. time variation measurement developed by the reaction products of a percussion primer, in an empty volume, similar with that of the fully equipped cartridge. The functional characteristics are determined and, further, the relative initiation capacity can be calculated and compared with a reference primer.

The tested primers percussion was performed using standard devices, with falling steel balls, similar to those consecrated in primers sensitivity tests for 5.56×45 mm and 9×19 mm calibre ammunition [13-14].

Multiple pressure piezoelectric transducers mounted along the pressure chamber can be used in order to determine the flowing reaction products gas-dynamics and, further, to calculate the pressurizing velocity of the cartridge case when empty or occupied with granules. In order to simulate the volume occupied by the propellant, small steel balls were introduced inside the cartridge case. The number of steel balls necessary to simulate the propellant was determined using equation (4),

$$N_{\rm b} = \frac{V_{\rm p}}{V_{\rm b}} = \frac{6}{\pi} \frac{\omega_{\rm p}}{\phi_{\rm b}^3 \rho_{\rm p}},\tag{4}$$

where: N_b – the number of balls; V_b – the single ball volume [mm³]; V_p – the volume occupied by propellant [mm³]; ϕ_b – the ball diameter [mm]; ω_p – the propellant quantity [g]; ρ_p – propellant real density [g/mm³].

3.1. Apparatus

Details regarding the combustion chamber (closed pressure vessel) are described in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

The measuring system consisted in:

- piezoelectric pressure transducers 4QP2000 with an average sensitivity of 8.42 pC/bar;
- charge amplifier KISTLER 5 023 with 1 000 pC/V amplification element;
- peak reading system (oscilloscope or any other DAQ device, computer, printer);
- pressure measuring device (Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Tab. 1);
- percussion system (firing pin, steel ball and energized electromagnet);
- 5.56×45 mm cartridge cases equipped with primers (tested primers and reference primers).



Fig. 1 Pressure measuring device: assembled and unassembled

Туре	Volume of cartridge case Wo [cm ³]	Empty volume with propellant charge V _{real} [cm ³]	Number of steel balls $\phi = 2.5$	Empty volume with steel balls V _{exp} [cm ³]		Distance between transducers
5.56×45	1.90	0.980	112	0.984	Perpendicular	18 mm

Tab. 1 Pressure measuring device characteristics

During the experiments, Boxer type primers were used, kindly provided by U.M. SADU-Gorj S.A. There were employed four sorts of primers, their chemical composition submitted by the manufacturer being presented in Tab. 2.

Component	Mass ratio [%]							
Component	Batch "P"	Batch "1"	Batch "2"	Batch "3"				
Lead styphnate	41	41	41	40				
Tetracene	3	3	3	3				
Barium nitrate	36	41	46	44				
Antimony sulphide	15	10	10	13				
Al-Mg	5	_						
Mg	_	5	—	—				
Mixture charge [mg]	20.0	20.2	21.8	22.2				

Tab. 2 Primer mixtures of Romanian primers



Fig. 2 Testing pressure chamber (section)

Additional tests were performed using consecrated ammunitions, respectively 5.56×45 mm cartridge cases from Giat (France) and Hirtenberger (Austria), also loaded with Boxer primers.

3.2. Procedure

Primers were ignited using standard firing pins. Drop height was over H_{100} (450 mm) in order to provide 100 % run. The firing pin was insulated and connected to the oscilloscope triggering channel. The cartridge case equipped with tested primer and steel balls inside (if desired) was introduced into the pressure measuring device, into its corresponding slot (loading chamber) and then the superior lid was screwed. The transducers and the firing pin were connected to the acquisition equipment. After percussion and primer ignition, the acquisition system recorded pressure vs. time curves. t_d , t_f , p_{max} and the shape of the curves were analysed, then transferred to databases in order to calculate other parameters.

4. Results and Discussion

Numerous experiments have been performed on Romanian, French and Austrian primers. Typical tests results for one kind of primer are presented below in Tab. 3 and Fig. 4. Annotations used are according with those presented in chapter 2 and the other parameters are: U_k – "peak to peak" tension; P_k – maximum pressure for recorded U_k ; A – amplitude of the electric signal, in terms of average tension; P_{med} – average P_{max} , corresponding to average tension delivered by charge amplifier and piezoelectric transducer. The rather high variations in the measured values can be explained based on the extremely small amounts of primer mixture in the percussion caps (around 20 mg in each primer, see Tab. 2) while the granulation of the components is rather coarse for technological reasons.

Tab. 3 Typical values obtained for 5.56×45 Sadu primer, Batch P (prototype) ($V_{exp} = 0.984 \text{ cm}^3 < N = 112$ balls, Δt represents the t_d delay between the two transducers)

			CHA					
No.	t _d [μs]	t _f [μs]	<i>U</i> к [V]	рк [bar]	A [V]	p _{med} [bar]	∆ <i>t</i> [µs]	V [m/s]
1	166	168	1.68	21.6	0.92	11.9	34	529
2	314	68	3.14	40.2	1.52	19.6	46	391
3	280	82	2.32	30.0	1.72	22.2	44	409
4	240	100	1.20	15.5	0.60	7.7	44	409
5	276	66	3.08	39.7	1.48	19.1	32	562
6	278	74	2.48	32.0	1.20	15.5	38	473
Average	259	93	2.32	29.8	1.24	16.0	40	462
St. dev.	51	39	0.77	9.8	0.42	5.4	5.85	71

No.	t _d [μs]	t _f [μs]	<i>U</i> к [V]	<i>р</i> к [bar]	A [V]	p _{med} [bar]	Δ <i>t</i> [μs]	V [m/s]
1	200	184	1.56	18.0	0.76	8.8	34	529
2	360	232	1.68	19.4	0.80	9.2	46	391
3	318	138	1.72	19.8	0.88	10.1	44	409
4	286	220	1.08	12.4	0.56	6.5	44	409
5	306	116	2.16	24.9	1.04	12.0	32	562
6	314	104	1.88	21.7	1.00	11.5	38	473
Average	297	165	1.68	19.4	0.84	9.7	40	462
St. dev.	53	54	0.36	4.16	0.18	2.0	6	71

The data obtained for all ammunition tested was analysed and included in Tabs. 4a-c (as average values). Characteristics of primers measured using two pressure transducers were calculated and compared. Primers from Batch P (SADU) were considered as reference, as they were extensively tested in real firing in testing ranges using both 5.56 mm laboratory weapons and real 5.56 mm weapons. The hatchings in Tab. 4a, 4b and 4c emphasize relative forces measured on channels 1 and 2 and then the average values from both channels.

The last column in Tab. 4c shows the classification of primer batches by relative force values. It can be observed that the rank is the same with or without balls simulating propellant charges. Batch 1, Batch 2 and Batch 3 primers were also tested in real firing tests with the complete ammunition and the classification after the results of comparing the ballistic performances was the same.

An interesting value presented in Tab. 4c is the pressure wave average velocity. This value along with the shape of the pressure vs. time curve indicate that the explosive transformation that occurs in tested primers is a one-stage process, while some works in the literature present initiation mechanism of primers as a two-stages process: detonation of primary explosive followed by the combustion of the pyrotechnic mixture.

The variables t_d and t_f are also decisive parameters for any ammunition, but especially for those used in automatic weapons. We only have to mention that long $t_d + t_f$ periods result in incomplete burning of the propellant and consequently lower energy for the projectile.

Similar experiments were performed using 9×19 mm ammunition equipped with Boxer primers, produced by SADU Romania and GINEX Bosnia. Similar pressure vs. time measurements were performed for these primers. During the tests there were fired three types of primers, two leaded with "toxic" mixtures (based on lead styphnate, barium nitrate and antimony sulphide) and one lead-free with "non-toxic" mixture (based on diazodinitrophenol (DDNP), zinc peroxide and Ti powder). The average results (from ten shots) are summarized in Tab. 5 and typical pressure vs. time curves are indicated in Fig. 4.



Fig. 3. Typical pressure vs. time curve for 5.56×45 ammunition

Empty vol. [cm ³]	Primer Type	t _d [μs]	t _f [µs]	<i>р</i> к [bar]	<i>P</i> k/ <i>P</i> k ref [%]	<i>P</i> ^{med} [bar]	$P_{ m med}/p_{ m k}$ ref [%]	I _{med} [bar∙ms]	d <i>p/dt</i> [bar/µs]	V [m/s]
	Batch P	488	135	43.1	100	21.4	100	14.4	488	809
1.9	Batch 1	516	134	36.2	84	19.3	90	12.9	516	744
-	Batch 2	512	125	47.0	109	27.5	128	17.2	512	995
	Batch 3	461	129	41.1	95	23.8	44	15.4	461	950
	Batch P	373	184	34.6	100	19.4	100	17.8	373	816
1.9	Batch 1	476	114	40.0	115	19.8	102	11.2	476	944
-	Giat	393	134	71.3	206	26.7	137	17.9	393	1012
	Hirtenb.	522	112	52.3	151	27.4	141	15.3	522	827
	Batch P	254	93	29.8	100	16.0	100	7.44	254	421
	Batch 1	486	128	26.4	88	12.6	79	8.06	486	380
0.984	Batch 2	368	117	32.1	107	18.8	118	11.0	368	723
	Batch 3	429	99	30.4	102	15.9	99	7.87	429	672
	Giat	440	76	50.8	170	24.9	155	9.46	440	665
	Hirtenb.	305	67	47.1	158	24.9	156	N/A	305	408

Tab. 4a Average values on first transducer (channel 1)

Tab. 4b Average values on the second transducer (channel 2)

Empty vol. [cm ³]	Primer Type	t _d [μs]	t _f [µs]	<i>р</i> к [bar]	<i>P</i> k/ <i>P</i> k ref [%]	<i>P</i> ^{med} [bar]	P _{med} / <i>p</i> k ref [%]	I _{med} [bar•ms]	d <i>p/</i> dt [bar/µs]
	Batch P	513	54	45.2	100	22.0	100	5.64	4.07
1.9	Batch 1	540	30	40.6	90	25.7	116	3.85	8.56
<u> </u>	Batch 2	530	29	54.3	120	39.0	177	5.66	13.5
	Batch 3	481	27	48.8	107	30.4	138	4.10	11.3
	Batch P	420	112	42.0	100	24.2	100	13.5	2.16
1.9	Batch 1	495	52	37.0	88	18.1	74	4.71	3.48
1	Giat	411	53	89.3	212	59.4	245	15.7	11.2
	Hirtenb.	545	38	56.9	135	30.0	124	5.7	7.9
	Batch P	297	164	19.4	100	9.7	100	7.95	0.59
	Batch 1	535	130	19.3	99	10.6	109	13.8	0.81
84	Batch 2	395	164	24.6	126	11.9	122	9.76	0.72
0.984	Batch 3	457	121	22.9	118	11.1	114	6.71	0.91
	Giat	470	110	38.2	197	19.2	197	10.6	1.74
	Hirtenb.	N/A	58	33.2	171	19.4	200	5.63	3.34

Empty vol. [cm ³]	Primer type	V [m/s]	<i>P</i> K med12 [bar]	<i>P</i> k/ <i>P</i> k ref [%]	Pmed12 [bar]	$P_{ m med}/p_{ m k}$ ref [%]	Ranking
	Batch P	809	44.2	100	21.7	100	III
1.9	Batch 1	744	38.4	87	22.5	104	IV
<u> </u>	Batch 2	995	50.7	115	33.2	153	Ι
	Batch 3	950	44.9	101	27.1	124	II
	Batch P	816	38.3	100	21.8	100	III
1.9	Batch 1	944	38.5	101	19.0	87	IV
-	Giat	1 012	80.3	209	43.1	197	Ι
	Hirtenberg	827	54.6	143	28.7	131	II
	Batch P	421	24.6	100	12.9	100	III
	Batch 1	380	22.9	93	11.6	90	IV
0.984	Batch 2	723	28.3	115	15.4	119	Ι
	Batch 3	672	26.7	109	13.5	104	II
	Giat	665	44.5	181	22.0	170	
	Hirtenberg	408	40.2	163	22.2	172	

Tab. 4c Comparison of the results



Fig. 4a Pressure versus time curve for leaded primer and for lead-free primer, respectively Lead-containing primer (TNR-Pb)



Fig. 4a Pressure versus time curve for leaded primer and for lead-free primer, respectively Lead-free primer (DDNP)

The results indicate that even if the average pressure is similar, lead-free primers have a shorter functioning time. That information is extremely valuable, for example, when analysing the possibility of using these two kinds of percussion primers in automatic armament systems.

Primer type	<i>t</i> f [s]	<i>U</i> _M [V]	P _M [bar]
Leaded SADU Romania	191	0.51	50.80
Leaded GINEX Bosnia	365	0.53	53.04
Lead-free GINEX Bosnia	173	0.52	51.47

Tab. 5 Average values of the main parameters

5. Conclusions

226

The ignition performance of the priming system represents an important parameter for the entire explosive train in any ammunition. Previous theoretical and experimental studies on percussion primers performances only emphasized the complexity of the matter.

In this regard, a method has been developed to comparatively asses the ignition performances of percussion primers. Since primer ignition capacity cannot be determined as an absolute value, it can be measured versus a reference primer. Knowing the relative ignition capacity of a primer is very important when investigating / developing new types of priming mixtures. It allows facile testing of different formulations without doing final assembling of the ammunition and performing live ammunition tests in the firing range.

The authors developed a testing procedure using standard equipment for ballistic measurements EPVAT (pressure transducers, charge amplifiers, data acquisition

systems, etc.) and a special design pressure vessel (combustion chamber) in order to measure pressure vs. time curve generated by a primer, along the cartridge case.

The method described above allowed us to determine some primer characteristics inaccessible until now, such as delay or function time, pressurizing velocity, influence of free volume. By knowing these values, a quantitative tool for comparing different designs of primers for specific ammunitions and also for specific armament system has been obtained.

Tests results indicate a strong relationship between the ballistic characteristics of primers (p_{max} and relative force) and the ballistic characteristics of the corresponding ammunition. In other words, it is possible to adjust the ballistic performances of the primer prior its use in a real ammunition / weapon system.

The velocity of the pressure wave determined during the tests by using multiple transducers show that primer mixtures are submitted to a single-stage process of explosive transformation and not a two-stage process (detonation-combustion).

References

- [1] TRANĂ, E. and ROTARIU, A. Aspects Regarding Mechanical Properties of a Triple Base Propellant and their Influence on Interior Ballistic Cycle. *MTA Review*, 2014, vol. XXIV, no.2, p. 83-89.
- [2] ŠTRBA, J. and KUSÁK, J. Geometric Coefficients Modifications of Surface Non-Treated Seven-Perforated Nitrocellulose Powder. *Advances in Military Technology*, 2011, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 47-56. ISSN 1802-2308.
- [3] JANKOVÝCH, R. and MAJTANÍK, J. Quantitative Safety Risk Requirements for Small Arms and Ammunition. *Advances in Military Technology*, 2008, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 5-16. ISSN 1802-2308.
- [4] RITTER, J.J. Characterizing 5.56-mm Cartridge Performance with Novel Measurement Techniques. *International Journal of Energetic Materials and Chemical Propulsion*, 2013, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 361-370.
 DOI 10.1015/F.U.F. P. 2010005201

DOI 10.1615/IntJEnergeticMaterialsChemProp.2013005291

- [5] GOGA, D.A. *Design and Construction of Pyrotechnic Initiation Devices* (in Romanian), Bucharest: Military Technical Academy, 2002, 240 p.
- [6] BELGRAMO, C. *The Explosives* (in Italian). Udine: Editura Arti Grafiche Friulane, 1974, 365 p.
- [7] PEUGEOT, F. Etude de la Sensibilité des Explosifs à la Pénétration par Percussion à Faible Energie (in French), Poitiers: ENSIETA, 1995.
- [8] FERREIRA, C., RIBEIRO, J., ALMADA, S., ROTARIU, T. and FREIRE, F. Reducing Impacts from Ammunitions: a Comparative Life-Cycle Assessment of Four Types of 9 mm Ammunitions. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2016, vol. 566-567, p. 34-40. DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.005
- [9] PETRE, R., ROTARIU, T., ZECHERU, T., PETREA, N. and BÅJENARU, S. Environmental Long Term Impact on a Romanian Military Testing Range. *Central European Journal of Energetic Materials*, 2016, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 3-19. ISSN 1733-7178.
- [10] ROTARIU, T., PETRE, R., ZECHERU, T., SUCESKA, M., PETREA, N. and EŞANU, S. Comparative Study of 9×19 mm Ammunition Combustion Products

and Residues. *Propellants, Explosives and Pyrotechnics*, 2015, vol. 40, no. 6, p. 931-937.

- [11] KLAPOETKE, T.M. Chemistry of High-Energy Materials. 2nd ed. Berlin/Boston: de Gruyter, 2012, p. 209.
- [12] STIASNY, B., KLAPOETKE, T., STIERSTORFER, J., WIEGMANN, S., ROTARIU, T. and ZECHERU, T., Azido(tert-butylperoxy)methyl Compounds – an Interesting Class of Energetic Materials. *European Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 2016, vol. 2016, no. 25, p. 4382-4386. DOI 10.1002/ejoc.201600717.
- [13] Manual of Proof and Inspection Procedures for NATO 5.56 mm Ammunition. Brussels: NATO, 2003.
- [14] Manual of Proof and Inspection for 9 mm Ammunition AC/225 (P111-SP1) D/170(REV). Brussels: NATO, 1982.